



Meeting Minutes
COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING
March 27, 2019 3:00 - 5:00 p.m.
Main Conference Room

1. Regular Meeting - Call to Order/Establish Quorum/Introductions –

Ms. Sharon Brown called the meeting to order at 3:01 P.M. Introductions were made.

2. Consent items

A. Agenda

The agenda was modified to add an item, 4B, CAC Membership Policy and Process.

B. Minutes – February 27, 2019

Mr. Jim Moore noted to add an asterisk to his name on the roster as he is serving his second term.

C. Board Financial Report

This was an information item.

Mr. Jim Moore moved to approve the consent items to include the amended agenda and the roster correction, seconded by Ms. Courtney Stone. The motion carried unanimously.

3. Public Comments

A. Julie Bishop, CSU Senior Project Engineer, Water Resources

Ms. Bishop provided information about Colorado Springs Utilities water supplies and water resource optimization. She explained how the water is used for both drinking and non-potable supply and comes from many sources. She explained the benefits of allowing various districts and stakeholders such as USAFA to connect to the Colorado Springs Utilities waste water systems; there is currently a project in the early stages of planning and consideration.

4. Action Items

A. FFY2019-2022 TIP Amendment #8

Ms. Kathryn Wenger, PPACG Senior Transportation Planner, provided details of TIP amendment #8. CDOT is requesting to add \$5,890,934 in state Water Quality Mitigation Funds for construction of storm water ponds, ditches, and storm sewer pipe for I-25/N Gate/N Powers interchange; add \$52,367,700 in private funds from Copper Ridge Metro District and lastly, add the CDOT Division of Transit and Rail project to the TIP using SB-228 state funding. Mr. John Hall, CDOT, provided highlights and addressed committee questions regarding the addition of funds for the projects.

Mr. Jim Moore moved to recommend approval of TIP Amendment #8, seconded by Ms. Tamara Dipner. The motion carried unanimously.

B. Membership Policy Committee

Ms. Courtney Stone, Chair of the CAC Membership Policy Subcommittee, explained that there is not currently a CAC membership or application process reflective of what the PPACG Board's current procedure is. The Board changed their bylaws in 2017 to remove the option of a paid associate membership which in turn, provided community-based organizations the opportunity to designate a seat on the CAC.

A request was made for transcription of Ms. Stone's overview; the full recording of the meeting can be found at <https://youtu.be/y5LcXCTWfZl>.

"So--to give a little bit of context of the subcommittee meeting we had yesterday initiated with the membership request from NEPCO--which we recommended approval to the Board last month, the intent of this committee meeting was we recommend approval for this particular organization but moving forward what does the process look like for community-based organizations to have representation on the CAC? I will say that our recommendations are on the table in front of you in draft form and we are very much open to input and suggestions from the entire committee about how to make sure we are being as even-handed and inclusive as possible with how we make sure that representation on the CAC is coming back to our roles as diverse and broad-based as possible--that the people that represent community needs include as many people as possible. The committee met with a few intentions in mind to identify a process moving forward but to also make sure that we had a discussion about potential unmet needs or unserved communities that could use or benefit from representation on the CAC. We have this brief for you and some of the discussion we had yesterday really focused on what our current process looks like and in short there's not a really great [sic] current process as far as what it would look like for a community-based organization to get membership on the CAC. We started our conversation with a few prompting questions; one of which was what currently unites membership on the CAC? What do groups currently have in common? How are we currently addressing unmet needs and how do we include organizational representation that doesn't create a totally bureaucratic process for people to work through? How do we make sure we are being inclusive and efficient as possible? So--you have our recommendation in front of you and I have to say I am thankful for the people who were involved in the process because I think it brings to mind that we do have a very diverse broad-base of perspectives and needs and as much as possible we were trying to be sensitive to maintaining that in the future. A couple of things to give some context for, we identified that there's three different kinds of memberships in the CAC. There are memberships that represent a geographic area, the six individual at large members, and three community-based organizations that we love and have done an incredible job representing the needs of that organization. That said, that latter group, community-based organizations, those that have membership on the CAC currently have that membership based on a process at the PPACG Board level that does not currently exist any longer. In the past, there was the option for community-based organizations to have an associate membership at PPACG; they paid for that membership and as part of the process were able to appoint someone on the CAC to represent their organization. The PPACG Board updated their bylaws in 2017 at some point and removed that option for an associate membership so it is no longer a possibility for organizations to have that membership and appoint someone to the CAC. Our current bylaws reflect that old process that community-based organizations would go to the PPACG Board for appointment or approval without necessarily having to come to the CAC because they were being appointed based on a membership that we have no interaction with. Our bylaws aren't necessarily reflective of what the current process at the PPACG Board level looks like. With that said--we recognize that those organizations have brought incredible value as far as being able to provide a broad base of input and we wanted to both include those organizations and make sure that the option for representation is available for other organizations moving forward. This is sort of where we came to our recommendation which was that we create five seats on the CAC total for organizations that represent a broad base of needs in the community and that the process for application would directly mirror our process for individual At-Large memberships so that were not creating a new discussion or new process but that the application into the CAC would fall in line with our October initiation; the membership appointment committee reviews applications and collectively recommends back to the CAC for recommendation to the Board. We have some potential processes or things that we want to make sure community-based organizations are maintaining or are in line with the general goals of the CAC. What that looks like as far as term limits and current organizational representation is open to this group."

The committee discussed the draft outline of the process created by the Membership Policy Subcommittee who met March 26th. There was discussion on how current community organizations future membership

would be handled. After much discussion, the CAC agreed that finishing the new membership process in its entirety before allowing a new membership (NEPCO) is recommended.

Mr. Jon DeVaux moved to recommend that the Board and CAC table NEPCO's request for membership until after the membership policy, process, and bylaws revision are finalized, seconded by Mr. Hal Moffat. The motion carried unanimously.

5. Information Items

A. Legislative Report

Jessica McMullen, Policy and Communications Manager, provided an update of the bills currently being reviewed by the Legislative Subcommittee. The Board of Directors' positions on various bills are outlined in the memo.

B. Strategic Plan Update

Mr. Andrew Gunning, Executive Director, provided program and organizational highlights as related to PPACG's Strategic Plan and goals.

6. Member Discussion

Mr. Ken Prather, PPACG Transportation Planner, is retiring after 27 years on April 2nd.
The Sertoma Club is hosting their Spirits of Spring fundraiser on Saturday, March 30th. SpiritsofSpring.org
City Council - (ADU ordinance) Accessory Dwelling Units are being discussed.

7. Items for future CAC Meetings

Mountain Metro Transit
CAC Membership Policy and Process

8. PPACG Meeting Schedule

April 24, 2019, 3 P.M.
PPACG Main Conference Room
15 S 7th St., Colorado Springs, CO 80905

9. Adjournment

Chair Brown adjourned the meeting at 5:07 p.m.

Attendees		
Present	Name	Agency/Affiliation
	Aubrey Day	Citizen-At-Large
X	Courtney Stone, 2 nd Vice Chair	Citizen-At-Large
X	Jim Moore	Citizen-At-Large
X	Kim Mutchler	Citizen-At-Large
	Michelle Day	Citizen-At-Large
X	Orrin Childers	Citizen-At-Large
X	Tamara Dipner	City of Colorado Springs
	Thomas Pfeifle	City of Colorado Springs
	Greg Lauer (Alternate)	City of Fountain
X	Sharon Brown, Chair	City of Fountain
	Roy Rosenthal	City of Manitou Springs
	Bruce Davis	City of Victor
X	Jon DeVaux	City of Woodland Park
X	Tim O'Donnell, 1 st Vice Chair	CONO
X	Harold Moffat	El Paso County
	Adam Rezner	El Paso County
	Laura Nelson	El Paso County
X	Julie Ott	League of Women Voters
X	Bill Boles	Park County
X	Jessica McMullen	PPACG
X	Ellen Haase	Teller County
X	Ann Esch	Town of Green Mountain Falls
	Terri Hayes	Town of Monument
X	PPACG staff and guests	